Pune | Mumbai | Thane | Hyderabad | Bangalore

Corporate Governance & Its Impact on Investments

Knowledge Series

September 2026

Volume 8 (Series 6)

❖ Introduction

When we think about investing in a company, we usually look at its revenue, profits, growth and share price. But there is another important question that is often overlooked — how well is the company governed?

Corporate governance simply means how a company is managed, controlled and monitored. It tells us who makes important decisions, who checks those decisions, and whether the company is acting in the best interests of its shareholders.

A company may show strong profits and good growth, but weak governance can put investors' money at risk. Poor decisions, lack of transparency, misuse of company resources or unreliable financial information can eventually lead to major losses for shareholders.

This edition of the Knowledge Series looks at corporate governance from an investor's point of view. It explains why good governance matters, how it can affect investment returns, what makes governance strong or weak, and what investors should look for before investing in a company.

In simple words: good financial numbers tell us how a company is performing; good corporate governance helps us understand whether we can trust those numbers.

❖ Why Should an Investor Care About Corporate Governance?

Corporate governance can be seen as a risk filter for investors. Two companies may operate in the same industry, have similar growth and even trade at similar valuations, but their level of risk can be very different depending on how the company is managed and how decisions are monitored.

- Weak governance can increase the chances of unexpected problems, such as changes in financial statements, sudden auditor resignations or decisions that may negatively affect minority shareholders.
- Strong governance does not guarantee that a company will perform well, but it can reduce the risk of major losses caused by poor management decisions or governance failures.
- Governance becomes especially important when a company faces difficult situations such as a business slowdown, change in leadership or a regulatory investigation. Good governance helps ensure that such situations are handled responsibly and transparently.

For an investor, corporate governance is therefore not just an additional factor to consider. It helps determine how much confidence an investor can place in the company's financial numbers, management and future plans.

❖ From Governance to Investment Returns: The Connection

The link between governance and returns operates through several channels, some visible immediately and some that only surface over a full market cycle.

- **Cost of capital:** Companies perceived as well-governed are able to raise debt and equity more cheaply, because lenders and investors price in a lower risk premium. This lower cost of capital compounds into higher long-term value creation.
- **Valuation multiples:** Markets consistently award a premium to companies with credible boards, clean disclosures, and a track record of fair dealing with minority shareholders — and apply a discount, sometimes a steep one, to companies where governance is doubtful, regardless of reported profitability.
- **Downside protection:** Governance failures rarely announce themselves gradually. They tend to surface as sudden, sharp corrections — a resignation, a raid, a whistleblower complaint — that can erase years of returns in a single trading session.
- **Capital allocation quality:** Boards that genuinely hold management accountable are more likely to reject value-destroying acquisitions, related-party deals, or pet projects driven by promoter interest rather than shareholder interest.

Taken together, these channels mean that governance is not a soft, qualitative overlay on an investment case — it is a variable that shows up directly in the discount rate, the multiple, and the tail risk of a portfolio.

The rest of this edition breaks governance down into its components, so that the connection between "how a company is run" and "what an investor should expect to earn" becomes something that can be assessed systematically rather than felt intuitively.

❖ The 5 Pillars of Strong Corporate Governance

Corporate governance may differ from one country or market to another, but the basics of good governance remain largely the same. These can be understood through five important pillars. Investors do not need to memorise detailed governance rules; these five pillars can simply be used as a practical checklist while reading a company's annual report.

1. Board Independence

A good Board should not simply approve everything management proposes. Its role is also to question and challenge important decisions. Investors should look at whether independent directors are truly free to raise difficult questions about strategy, related-party transactions and management pay.

2. Transparency & Disclosure

Good governance means providing investors with clear and timely information. Investors should look for proper disclosure of important events, business segments and significant accounting decisions. Clear communication shows that the company respects shareholders' right to understand what is happening in the business.

3. Accountability

Accountability means that people responsible for important decisions should also be answerable for the results. Investors can look at whether management is held responsible for poor performance, whether executive pay is linked to performance, and whether the Board takes responsibility when things go wrong.

4. Fairness & Shareholder Right

Good governance means treating all shareholders fairly, whether they are promoters or minority shareholders. Investors should be alert to situations where company decisions appear to give an unfair advantage to promoters or related parties, such as preferential allotments or transactions that transfer value to promoter-controlled companies.

5. Ethics & Integrity

Ethics and integrity are about doing the right thing even when there is no external pressure. Investors can get an indication of a company's ethical culture by looking at how it reports revenue, handles disputes and litigation, and deals with employees, vendors, regulators and other stakeholders.

Together, these five pillars provide a simple framework for understanding the quality of a company's governance. They also form the foundation for the sections that follow, including the Board of Directors, Audit Committee, financial reporting, related-party transactions and ownership structure.

❖ Who Governs a Company? Understanding the Governance Ecosystem

Corporate governance is not the responsibility of a single body — it operates through a layered ecosystem of checks, each meant to constrain the others.

- **Shareholders** provide capital and, in principle, exercise ultimate control through voting rights at general meetings.
- **The Board of Directors** is elected to represent shareholder interests and oversee management on their behalf.
- **Management** runs day-to-day operations and is accountable to the board for performance and conduct.
- **The Audit Committee and statutory auditors** independently verify that financial reporting reflects economic reality.
- **Regulators** (such as the securities regulator and stock exchanges) set minimum standards and enforce compliance.

When this ecosystem functions as designed, each layer checks the one below it. When any single layer is weak or captured — a board stacked with promoter loyalists, or an audit committee that rubber-stamps management proposals — the entire chain of accountability breaks down, often without any single visible failure until it is too late.

❖ Board of Directors

The Board of Directors is at the centre of a company's governance system. For an investor, understanding who sits on the Board and how they work is almost as important as looking at the company's financial statements.

- **Board composition:** Look at the number of independent and non-independent directors. Also consider how long independent directors have served, as very long and continuous association with the company may affect their ability to remain fully independent.

- **Committee independence:** Check whether important committees such as the Audit Committee, Nomination and Remuneration Committee are led by genuinely independent directors.

- **Other board positions:** See whether directors also serve on the boards of group or related companies. Multiple positions can sometimes create conflicts of interest that investors may overlook.

The Board has a responsibility to act in the best interests of the company and all its shareholders, not only the promoters who may have nominated certain directors. One way to understand whether the Board is performing this role effectively is to look at how it handles difficult decisions. For example, directors may question related-party transactions, disagree with management or resign when they have serious concerns.

Therefore, a Board that approves every decision unanimously year after year should not automatically be considered a strong Board. Effective governance requires not only approval, but also independent thinking, questioning and healthy challenge.

❖ Audit Committee: The Investor's Watchdog

If the board is the guardian of shareholder interests broadly, the audit committee is the specific body tasked with guarding the integrity of the number's investors rely on. Its core responsibilities include reviewing financial statements before they go to the board, overseeing the statutory auditor's independence and scope of work, examining internal control weaknesses, and approving related-party transactions.

- An audit committee composed entirely of independent directors, with genuine financial expertise, is a meaningfully stronger safeguard than one that exists purely to satisfy a regulatory minimum.

- Frequent changes in statutory auditors, especially mid-term resignations with vague reasons, deserve far more investor attention than they typically receive.

- Large or unusual related-party transactions that are approved with minimal discussion in the minutes are a signal the committee may be more procedural than substantive.

Because most investors never see an audit committee meet, its effectiveness has to be inferred from outcomes — auditor tenure, the frequency and clarity of related-party disclosures, and whether qualified opinions or key audit matters, when they appear, are addressed rather than dismissed.

❖ Financial Reporting: Can You Trust the Numbers?

Financial statements are the primary language through which a company communicates with investors, which makes them both the most useful and the most exploitable governance signal available. Trust in the numbers is built cumulatively, through several observable habits rather than any single ratio.

- **Consistency of accounting policy:** Frequent, unexplained changes in revenue recognition, depreciation, or provisioning methods are a reason to slow down and ask why.

- **Cash flow versus reported profit:** Profit that consistently fails to convert into operating cash flow over several years is one of the most reliable early indicators of aggressive accounting.

- **Auditor's report and key audit matters:** Qualified opinions, emphasis-of-matter paragraphs, and key audit matters are the auditor's way of flagging judgment areas — they are rarely boilerplate and deserve a full read, not a skim.

- **Restatements:** Any restatement of prior-period financials should prompt a review of everything reported since, not just the specific line item corrected.

❖ Related Party Transactions: Following the Money

Related-party transactions (RPTs) are transactions between a company and people or entities connected to its promoters or management. These transactions are not necessarily wrong. Many companies regularly deal with related parties for genuine business reasons and at fair market terms.

For an investor, the important question is not "Does the company have related-party transactions?", but rather "Are these transactions fair, transparent and properly approved?"

Investors should pay attention to:

- **Loans and guarantees:** Money or guarantees given to group companies without a clear business reason can be a warning sign.
- **Sales and purchases:** Check whether transactions with related entities are carried out at prices similar to normal market prices.
- **Fees and asset transfers:** Look carefully at payments such as brand royalties, management fees or transfers of assets to promoter-owned companies.
- **Increasing RPTs:** A significant increase in the share of revenue or expenses involving related parties should be understood, especially if there is no clear business explanation.

Related-party transactions deserve special attention because they can potentially move value from the company to related entities, which may affect minority shareholders.

Therefore, investors should not simply skip the related-party section of the annual report. Understanding these transactions can provide important insights into how the company's money is being used and whether shareholders' interests are being protected.

❖ Promoters, Ownership & Share Pledging: What Investors Should Watch

Promoter behaviour is one of the most revealing, and most underused, governance signals available to investors, because promoters typically know the business better than anyone else and act on that information.

- **Shareholding trend:** A steady decline in promoter holding over several quarters, particularly alongside strong reported performance, warrants a closer look.
- **Share pledging:** Promoters pledging a large proportion of their holding as collateral for personal or group borrowings creates a risk of forced, price-insensitive selling if the stock falls and lenders invoke the pledge.
- **Insider trading patterns:** Bulk promoter buying ahead of good news, or selling ahead of bad news, disclosed through mandatory filings, is a pattern worth tracking over time rather than in isolation.

❖ Governance Red Flags: Warning Signs Every Investor Should Know

Individually, most governance red flags are ambiguous — each has a plausible innocent explanation. It is the accumulation of several flags around the same company that should raise real concern.

- Frequent changes in the company secretary, CFO, or statutory auditor, especially without a clearly stated reason.
- A qualified audit opinion, or an auditor's resignation mid-year citing "professional reasons."
- Complex holding structures with numerous unlisted subsidiaries and step-down entities.
- Persistent gap between reported profit and operating cash flow.
- High promoter share pledging or a consistent decline in promoter holding.
- Related-party transactions that are large relative to company size, or inadequately explained.
- Aggressive, unexplained changes in accounting estimates that flatter reported profit.
- A board with limited genuine independence or unusually high director turnover.

❖ When Governance Fails: From Small Red Flags to Major Losses

Governance failures often do not happen suddenly. They may appear sudden in the share price, but in reality, the warning signs usually develop over time. The process can be understood through four stages:

Stage 1 — Early Signals: Small related-party transactions, minor changes in accounting judgments or a change in the auditor may initially go unnoticed because the company's financial numbers still appear normal.

Stage 2 — Widening Gap: The difference between reported profits and actual cash generation becomes larger. The company may continue to raise funds from banks or investors, which can hide the underlying problem for some time.

Stage 3 — Trigger Event: A major event, such as funding becoming unavailable, a whistleblower complaint or an auditor refusing to approve the financial statements, brings the problem into the open.

Stage 4 — Market Repricing: Once the issue becomes public, the share price may fall sharply. This happens because investors may lose trust not only in the specific issue, but also in other information previously reported by the company.

For investors, the key lesson is simple: the earlier warning signs are identified, the better the chance of protecting capital. By the time a governance failure becomes publicly confirmed, a significant part of the damage to the share price may have already occurred.

❖ Case Study: Satyam — When Corporate Governance Collapses

The Satyam Computer Services episode of 2009 remains India's most cited corporate governance case study, precisely because it illustrates how far a governance failure can outrun a company's reported financial performance before collapsing.

- For several years, Satyam reported consistent growth and was widely regarded as a well-run, professionally managed IT services company, with a board that included respected independent directors.
- The company's founder-chairman eventually disclosed that profits had been systematically overstated for years, with fictitious cash balances and revenue inflated through falsified invoices and bank statements.
- The scale of the fraud was only possible because multiple layers of the governance ecosystem — board oversight, audit committee scrutiny, and external audit — failed to detect or challenge it over an extended period.
- Once disclosed, the stock lost the vast majority of its market value within days, and the company was ultimately acquired and restructured under regulatory supervision.

For an investor, the enduring lesson is not that fraud is common — it is rare — but that reported profitability and market reputation are not substitutes for independently verifying governance quality. A company can look, by every visible financial metric, like a governance success story right up until it is exposed as the opposite.

❖ Good Governance vs Poor Governance: Two Companies, Two Outcomes

The contrast between well-governed and poorly-governed companies is rarely visible in a single year of results — it becomes visible over a full economic cycle, particularly during downturns.

- **Company A (strong governance):** An independent, engaged board; conservative accounting; minimal and well-disclosed related-party dealings; and a promoter group with stable, unpledged holding. During a downturn, the company cuts costs transparently, protects minority shareholders in any capital raise, and its stock, while it may fall with the market, recovers in line with fundamentals.
- **Company B (weak governance):** A promoter-dominated board; aggressive revenue recognition that has flattered several years of results; growing related-party lending to group entities; and high promoter pledging. During the same downturn, cash flow pressure that was previously hidden becomes visible, related-party loans turn doubtful, and the stock falls far more sharply — and for longer — than the broader market.

Both companies may have looked similarly attractive on a price-to-earnings basis at the top of the cycle. The governance difference is what determines which one an investor is still comfortable holding at the bottom of it.

❖ Governance Risk & Stock Valuation: Why Trust Has a Price

The market may not show corporate governance as a separate item in a company's financial statements, but it continuously considers governance when deciding how much investors are willing to pay for the company's shares.

- **Well-governed companies:** Companies with strong governance may trade at a higher valuation compared with similar companies. Investors are generally more confident that the reported profits are reliable and can continue in the future.

- **Governance concerns:** Companies with governance issues may trade at a lower valuation, even when their growth and profit margins look similar to their peers. This is because investors demand a higher return for taking additional governance-related risk.

- **Discount can appear early:** The market may start giving a lower valuation before any major governance failure is officially confirmed. Investors who identify concerns early may gradually reduce their exposure.

Therefore, an unusually low valuation compared with similar companies should not always be viewed as a bargain. It should also be treated as a question worth investigating. The low valuation may reflect risks that are not yet clearly visible to the public.

In simple words: good governance can support investor confidence and valuation, while governance concerns can lead to a valuation discount even before a major problem becomes public.

❖ The Investor's Corporate Governance Checklist

- **Board:** What proportion is genuinely independent, and how long have they served?
- **Audit committee:** Is it independent-led, and how often has the auditor changed?
- **Cash flow:** Does operating cash flow track reported profit over several years?
- **Related parties:** Are RPTs disclosed clearly, and are they growing as a share of the business?
- **Promoters:** Is holding stable or declining, and how much of it is pledged?
- **Disclosures:** Are material events communicated promptly and in plain language?
- **History:** Has the company had any past restatements, qualified opinions, or regulatory action?

❖ Where to Find Governance Red Flags in an Annual Report

An annual report contains far more governance information than most investors ever read past the financial statements. The following sections repay close reading:

- **Auditor's Report:** Look specifically for qualified opinions, emphasis-of-matter paragraphs, and key audit matters — these are the auditor's direct commentary on judgment areas.
- **Corporate Governance Report:** Details board composition, committee structure, director attendance, and related-party transaction approvals.
- **Notes to Accounts – Related Party Disclosures:** The most direct window into transactions between the company and promoter-linked entities.
- **Board's Report / Director's Report:** Often reveals litigation, regulatory notices, and material events in the fine print.
- **Shareholding Pattern:** Filed quarterly, this tracks promoter holding and pledge levels over time far more precisely than the annual report alone.
- **Management Discussion & Analysis:** Compare the tone and specificity here against actual results — vague, consistently optimistic language regardless of performance is itself a signal.

❖ Conclusion

Corporate governance is often treated as a compliance topic, relevant to regulators and company secretaries but peripheral to the investment decision. This edition has argued the opposite: governance is a direct, measurable driver of investment risk and return, operating through the cost of capital, valuation multiples, and the probability of a sudden, severe loss of capital.

The five pillars — board independence, transparency, accountability, fairness, and ethics — are not abstract ideals. Each has concrete, observable evidence in an annual report, in shareholding patterns, and in how a company treats its minority shareholders when no one is forcing it to.

The Satyam case remains a reminder that reported numbers alone are not proof of quality. For an investor, building the habit of reading governance signals alongside financial ones is one of the most reliable ways to protect capital — not just to grow it.

❖ Quarterly Event

As part of our quarterly initiatives, we recently organised "Tamasha", an event focused on strengthening team building and workplace connections across the Firm. The event provided an opportunity for team members from different departments and levels to interact beyond their regular work responsibilities. It encouraged our Articles to open up, participate freely and build stronger relationships with their colleagues. Such activities help create a more comfortable and supportive work environment where people feel connected and valued. Beyond work, these interactions help us understand each other better and strengthen the spirit of teamwork and collaboration within the Firm.

❖ Compliance Calender

Sr. No	Due Dates	Compliance
1	07-Sep	TDS/TCS Deposit (Aug 26)
2	11-Sep	GSTR -1 (for Aug 26)
3	13-Sep	QRMP (IFF) (for Aug 26)
4	13-Sep	GSTR - 6 (ISD)
5	15-Sep	Advance tax Q2
6	20-Sep	GSTR - 3B (For Aug 26)
7	25-Sep	PMT - 06 (for Aug 26)
8	30-Sep	Annual General Meeting to be held on or before 30 September 2026
9	30-Sep	Filing of Tax Audit Report

RRCO Advisors LLP

Management Consultants

Pune | Mumbai | Thane | Hyderabad | Bangalore

501-504, Akshay Landmark,

Opp Pu La Deshpande Garden,

Sinhagad Road, Pune-411030

Phone: +91-20-24254388

Email: info@rrco.co.in